UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,692	09/05/2003	Akiyoshi Hashimoto	16869S-093900US	6495	
	7590	5/12/2008 ND AND CREW, LLP			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			WHIPPLE, BRIAN P		
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	ок 6CO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/655,692	HASHIMOTO, AI	KIYOSHI		
Examiner-induced interview cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian P. Whipple	2152			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pen</u>	<u>uding</u>			
(1) <u>Brian P. Whipple</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Andrew Lee</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 5 May 2008	Time: <u>3:30 PM</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
1, 8-9, 11, and 15					
Prior art documents discussed:					
B II					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:					
See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED.			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance		
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152					
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if a	appropriate)		

Application No. 10/655,692

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner previously discussed the case with his superviser on 5/2/08 and found the inclusion of the ownership flag limitation of either claim 8 or 9 in the independent claim 1, would incorporate the allowable subject matter, and place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative stated he would contact Applicant and discuss an amendment to incorporate the limitation of claim 8 into claim 1. Examiner stated that the other independent claims, 11 and 15, were not allowable over the prior art and did not include dependent claims with allowable subject matter. Applicant's representative discussed possibly cancelling claims 11-15 in order to further prosecution of the case (and to later file a continuation for the broader claims). Examiner is awaiting a response from Applicant's representative and will delay processing the case until 5/16/08 in order to give Applicant's representative a chance to respond.